

Handwritten mark resembling a stylized '9' or 'g'.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/701,675

07/09/2001

Olga Bandman

PF-0531 USN

8931

7590

03/18/2004

INCYTE COPORATION
LEGAL DEPARTMENT
3160 PORTER DRIVE
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER

MERTZ, PREMA MARIA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/701,675	Applicant(s) BANDMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Prema M Mertz	Art Unit 1646	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 44-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52,53,55-57 and 60 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 44-51, 54, 58 and 59 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 have been canceled. New claims 44-60 have been added in the amendment filed 1/20/2004. Claims 44-51, 54, 58-59 drawn to the elected invention are under consideration.
2. Receipt of applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 1/20/2004 is acknowledged.
3. The following previous rejections and objections are withdrawn in light of applicants amendments filed in Paper No. 17, 7/10/03:
 - (i) the objection to the specification for the lack of an abstract;
 - (ii) the rejection of claims 24, 26, 29-31, 34, 38 are under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description;
 - (iii) the rejection of claims 24, 26, 29-31, 34, 38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement;
 - (iv) the rejection of claims 24-32, 34, 38-39 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph;
 - (v) the rejection of claims 24, 26, 29, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojima et al (1993); and
 - (vi) the rejection of claims 30-31, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima et al (1993).
4. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/20/2004 have been fully considered and were persuasive. The new issues are stated below.
5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1646

Specification

6. The information contained in the documents supplied on 1/20/2004 will not be considered by the Examiner because it does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.98 since a list of the publications has not been supplied together with a PTOL-1449 form (listed on an information disclosure statement). Appropriate submission of an information disclosure statement is requested if Applicants intend for the submitted references to be considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101/112, first paragraph

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 44-51, 54, 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The instant claims are drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide which has an as yet undetermined function or biological significance. Until some actual and specific significance can be attributed to the protein identified in the specification as having homology to cell cycle

Art Unit: 1646

regulation proteins (CECRP) (page 3, lines 2-28), the instant invention is incomplete. The specification on page 53-54, describes a prophetic example for the demonstration of CECRP activity and states that CECRP activity is demonstrated by measuring the induction of terminal differentiation or cell cycle progression when CECRP is expressed at physiologically elevated levels in mammalian cell culture systems. However, the instant specification does not disclose any information regarding functional characteristics or the biological activity of the instantly claimed protein i.e. in which way will the protein alter the cell cycle, will it halt or speed it up. This result is not evident from the instant specification. Therefore, there are prophetic statements in the specification regarding the protein being a cell cycle regulator but nothing in the instant specification would direct one of skill in the art to identify the function of this function.

While the specification on page 40 describes many diseases for which the polynucleotide encoding the instant protein can be used in the diagnosis of, such as a reagent useful in diagnosis of actinic keratosis, arteriosclerosis, atherosclerosis, bursitis, cirrhosis, hepatitis, mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD), myelofibrosis, paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, polycythemia vera, psoriasis, primary thrombocythemia, and cancers including adenocarcinoma, leukemia, lymphoma, melanoma, myeloma, sarcoma, teratocarcinoma, and, in particular, cancers of the adrenal gland, bladder, bone, bone marrow, brain, breast, cervix, gall bladder, ganglia, gastrointestinal tract, heart, kidney, liver, lung, muscle, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid, penis, prostate, salivary glands, skin, spleen, testis, thymus, thyroid, and uterus; acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), Addison's disease, adult respiratory distress syndrome, allergies, ankylosing spondylitis,

Art Unit: 1646

amyloidosis, anemia, asthma, atherosclerosis, autoimmune hemolytic anemia, autoimmune thyroiditis, bronchitis, cholecystitis, contact dermatitis, Crohn's disease, atopic dermatitis, dermatomyositis, diabetes mellitus, emphysema, episodic lymphopenia with lymphocytotoxins, erythroblastosis fetalis, erythema nodosum, atrophic gastritis, glomerulonephritis, Goodpasture's syndrome, gout, Graves' disease, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, hypereosinophilia, irritable bowel syndrome, multiple sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, myocardial or pericardial inflammation, osteoarthritis, osteoporosis, pancreatitis, polymyositis, psoriasis, Reiter's syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, Sjögren's syndrome, systemic anaphylaxis, systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis, thrombocytopenic purpura, ulcerative colitis, uveitis, Werner syndrome, complications of cancer, hemodialysis, and extracorporeal circulation, viral, bacterial, fungal, parasitic, protozoal, and helminthic infections, and trauma. However, Applicants have failed to disclose the role the instant CECRP protein may play in the regulation of the cell cycle, or the nexus between the expression of the protein and any of these diseases. There is no guidance given about which specific activity/activities the claimed CECRP polypeptide would likely have in these diseases. The specification does not demonstrate that the claimed polypeptide actually displays the recited activity. In the absence of knowledge of the specific biological significance of the claimed protein, there is no immediately obvious patentable use for it. Since the instant specification does not disclose a "real world" use for the nucleic acid encoding the protein then the claimed invention is incomplete and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of 35 USC § 101 as being useful.

Art Unit: 1646

A protein of unknown function would have utility if it can be employed as an indicator of a diseased state or of the presence of a disorder. The only disclosed function for the protein of the instant invention is that it is a cell cycle regulation protein (page 3). However, Applicants have failed to show the nexus between the instant protein and the cell cycle. Applicant is only required to identify one substantial credible utility and the employment of this protein as the subject of further research does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the courts have interpreted this statute as requiring an invention to have "substantial utility" "where specific benefit exists in currently available form".

The state of the art is such that functional information can be automatically derived from structural information only to a limited extent, (see Sklonick et al, Nature Biotechnology, Vol.18, No.3, pages 283-287, especially page 286, middle of column 1). Sklonick et al also state that knowledge of the overall structure or domain family is still not enough to confidently assign function to a protein. Therefore, there is little doubt that, after further characterization, the protein is found to be member of the cell cycle regulating protein family, the claimed protein would have a specific, substantial and credible utility. However, further characterization is part of the invention and until it had been undertaken, the claimed invention is not supported by a specific asserted utility or a well established utility. The claimed invention is directed to a polypeptide of as yet undetermined function or biological significance. Thus, since there is no biological activity disclosed for the protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acid, the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantially asserted utility or a well established utility.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 44-51, 54, 58-59 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantially asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. The instant specification does not disclose a specific biological activity for the claimed protein, therefore, there is no specific and substantial asserted utility or well established utility for the claimed protein.

Should Applicants establish an activity for the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 3 encoded by the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 8, the instant specification would still fail to adequately describe and enable an isolated protein that is at least 90% identical to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:3. Applicants do not teach which regions of said polypeptide are critical to encode a functional polypeptide. The specification does not provide the requisite examples nor a representative number of different sequences that would allow the skilled artisan to produce a polypeptide having at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:3, nor does the disclosure provide criteria that explicitly enable such critical features. There is no guidance in the specification as to how one of ordinary skill in the art would generate a polypeptide, other than that exemplified. The issue here is the breadth of the claims in light of the predictability of the art as determined by the number of working examples, the skill level of the artisan and the guidance presented in the instant specification and the prior art of record.

In summary, the amount of experimentation required for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the claimed invention, an isolated polypeptide that is at least 90% identical to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:3 would be undue. To practice the instant invention in a manner consistent with the breadth of the claims would not require just a repetition of the work that is described in the

Art Unit: 1646

instant application but a substantial inventive contribution on the part of a practitioner which would involve the determination of those nucleotide sequences of the disclosed naturally-occurring nucleic acid encoding the claimed polypeptide, which are required for functional and structural integrity of the claimed polypeptide. It is this additional characterization of the disclosed polypeptide that is required in order to obtain the functional and structural data needed to permit one to produce a polypeptide which meets both the structural and functional requirements of the instant claim that constitutes undue experimentation.

Claim rejections-35 USC § 112, second paragraph

8. Claims 44-51, 54, 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 44-49 are vague and indefinite because it cannot be determined what the metes and bounds of the term "cell cycle regulating activity" are. Does the protein halt or speed up the cell cycle? Furthermore, it is unclear what the cell cycle regulating activity would be if the biological activity of the protein is one that is yet unknown.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (571) 271-0871.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Art Unit: 1646

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Prema Mertz
Prema Mertz Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1646
February 9, 2004